arXiv:math/0508134v1 [math.AG] 7 Aug 2005

Hurwitz spaces of Galois coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with Galois groups Weyl groups

Vassil Kanev

Abstract

We prove the irreducibility of the Hurwitz spaces which parametrize Galois coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ whose Galois group is an arbitrary Weyl group and the local monodromies are reflections. This generalizes a classical theorem due to Clebsch and Hurwitz.

Introduction

A classical theorem due to Clebsch and Hurwitz states that the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_{d,n}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, which parametrizes irreducible coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ of degree d simply ramified in n points, is irreducible [Hur]. Coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ of degree d with a fixed monodromy group $G \subset S_d$, the related Galois coverings with Galois group G, and the corresponding Hurwitz spaces were studied in connection with the inverse Galois problem (see [Vo] and the references therein). The irreducibility of such spaces is a relevant problem for this theory and was verified in few cases [BF], [FB], [FV].

Replacing S_d by an arbitrary Weyl group one may ask whether the theorem of Clebsch and Hurwitz could be generalized to Galois coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with Galois groups Weyl groups. Coverings of this type are interesting on their own. They appear in the study of spectral curves, integrable systems, generalized Prym varieties, Prym-Tyurin varieties [Do, K1, K2, Sc]. The generalized Prym maps yield morphisms from the Hurwitz spaces of coverings with monodromy groups contained in a Weyl group to Siegel modular varieties which parametrize Abelian varieties with a fixed polarization type. If one proves the irreducibility and the unirationality of some Hurwitz spaces and the dominance of the Prym maps, this would imply the unirationality of the corresponding Siegel modular varieties. This idea was successfully realized in proving the unirationality of $\mathcal{A}_3(1,1,d)$ and $\mathcal{A}_3(1,d,d)$ for $d \leq 4$ [K3], [K4] (the case $d = 5$ is a work in progress). We hope the method may be extended considering coverings with monodromy groups an arbitrary irreducible Weyl group. The irreducibility of the Hurwitz spaces is the first issue to address here. For coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ Hurwitz showed in [Hur] that the problem of irreducibility is reduced to a purely algebraic problem about transitivity

Research supported by the italian MIUR, research program "Geometria sulle varietà algebriche"

of certain actions of the braid groups on tuples of elements of the monodromy group (see Section 1 for details). We mention that analogous reduction, involving braid groups of Riemann surfaces of positive genus, was found in [K5] for Hurwitz spaces of coverings of a fixed smooth, projective curve of positive genus.

In the present paper we generalize the result of Clebsch and Hurwitz and prove in Theorem 2.7 the irreducibility of the Hurwitz spaces which parametrize Galois coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ whose Galois group is an arbitrary Weyl group W and which have simple branching in the sense that every local monodromy is a reflection. We notice that when W is the Weyl group of an irreducible root system of type D_r, B_r or C_r the result is already known. The case $W(D_r)$ is treated in [BF]. The case $W(B_r)$, which is the same as $W(C_r)$, is easily reduced to the theorem of Clebsch and Hurwitz. One consequence of our result is the topological classification of the coverings we consider. Namely, Clebsch gave a normal form for the local monodromies of a simple covering $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ ([Cl], cf. [Fu] proof of Proposition 1.5). Our Corollary 2.8 gives a normal form for the local monodromies when the monodromy group is a Weyl group and the branching is simple.

We mention two other recent papers where the problem of irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces of coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ was studied. Let W be a finite irreducible Coxeter group of rank r. S. Humphries studied in [Hum] Hurwitz actions of the r-strand braid group on r-tuples of reflections of W and one of his results has the following corollary. Let W be of type $A_r, B_r, D_r, E_6, E_7, F_4, I_3$ or I_4. Theorem 1.2 (ibid.) implies the irreducibility of the Hurwitz space parametrizing the irreducible Galois covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with Galois group W, branched in $r+1$ points, in r of which the local monodromies are reflections. F. Vetro studied in [Ve] coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ of degree $2r$ whose monodromy group is contained in $W(B_r) \subset S_{2r}$. She proved the irreducibility of the corresponding Hurwitz space when the local monodromies at all branch points, except possibly one, are reflections. We do not know whether our theorems 2.5 and 2.7 remain valid if one replaces Weyl groups by finite Coxeter groups. While only Weyl groups are relevant for the Siegel modular varieties, a possible generalization to finite Coxeter groups might be of interest for the inverse Galois theory (see e.g. [Vo]).

1 Hurwitz spaces and Weyl groups

In §1.1–§1.5 we recall some facts about Hurwitz spaces. The references for this material are [Fu], [Fr], [Vo].

1.1. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a Galois covering with Galois group G. We assume G acts on X on the left. We call π a G-covering for short. Let $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be the discriminant locus of π and let $b_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1 - D$. We consider the fundamental groups with multiplication defined by means of composition of arcs $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2$ where one first travels along γ_1 and then along γ_2. Let $x_0 \in \pi^{-1}(b_0)$. The monodromy homomorphism $m_{x_0} : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - D, b_0) \to G$ is defined as follows. If γ is a closed arc in $\mathbb{P}^1 - D$ based at b_0, let $\hat{\gamma} : [0,1] \to X - \pi^{-1}(D)$ be its lifting which starts at x_0 and ends at gx_0. Then $m_{x_0}([\gamma]) = g$. We notice that if instead one considers Galois coverings where G acts on the right, then $m_{x_0}([\gamma]) = g$ if $\hat{\gamma}(0) = x_0, \hat{\gamma}(1) = x_0 g^{-1}$.

Let ℓ be an arc which connects b_0 with a point $b \in D$ and contains none of the other points of D. Let γ be a closed arc which begins at b_0, travels along ℓ to a point near b, makes a small counterclockwise loop around b and returns to b_0 along ℓ. The element $t = m_{x_0}([\gamma])$ is the *local monodromy* around b along γ. The conjugacy class $\{gtg^{-1}|g \in G\}$ does depend neither of the choice of γ, nor of the choice of $x_0 \in \pi^{-1}(b_0)$, nor of the choice of $b_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1 - D$. It characterizes the ramification type at the discriminant point $b \in D$.

Let $n = |D|$. An *arc system* is a collection of n simple arcs (= embedded intervals) which join b_0 with the points of D and do not meet outside b_0. One defines an ordering of an arc system by choosing arbitrarily the first one and numbering the arcs by the directions of departure in counterclockwise order. One associates to an arc system n closed arcs as above. We call the obtained $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ a *standard system* of closed arcs. Their homotopy classes generate $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - D, b_0)$ with the only relation $\gamma_1 * \cdots * \gamma_n \simeq 1$. Let $t_i = m_{x_0}([\gamma_i])$. One has $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$. Conversely, let the n-tuple $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of elements of G satisfies $t_i \neq 1$ for $\forall i$ and $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$. Let $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1 - b_0$ be an arbitrary set of n points, let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ be a simple system of closed arcs constructed as above and let $m : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - D, b_0) \in G$ be the homomorphism defined by $m_{x_0}([\gamma_i]) = t_i$. Then by Riemann's existence theorem there is a G-covering $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ branched in D, and a point $x_0 \in \pi^{-1}(b_0)$ such that $m_{x_0} = m$. The topological cover $X - \pi^{-1}(D)$ is connected, equivalently X is irreducible, if and only if $t_1, \dots, t_n$ generate G.

Definition 1.2. An n-tuple $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of elements of a group G which satisfy $t_i \neq 1$ for $\forall i$ and $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ is called a *Hurwitz system.*

1.3. Two G-coverings $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\pi' : X' \to \mathbb{P}^1$ are called equivalent if there is a G-equivariant isomorphism $f : X \to X'$ such that $\pi' = \pi \circ f$. Suppose furthermore π and π' are not branched in $b_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $x_0 \in \pi^{-1}(b_0), x_0' \in \pi^{-1}(b_0)$. The pairs $(\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1, x_0)$ and $(\pi' : X' \to \mathbb{P}^1, x_0')$ are called equivalent if there is an isomorphism f as above which satisfies furthermore $f(x_0) = x_0'$.

Let $C_1, \dots, C_k$ be conjugacy classes of G, $C_i \neq C_j$ if $i \neq j$. Let $\underline{n} = n_1 C_1 + \cdots + n_k C_k$ be a formal sum where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $|\underline{n}| = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$. In this paper we study two types of Hurwitz spaces which we first define as sets. The points of $\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ are the equivalence classes of G-coverings $[\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1]$ with $n = |\underline{n}|$ discriminant points such that n_i of these points have local monodromies belonging to $C_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, and moreover X is irreducible. The points of $\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0)$ are the equivalence classes of pairs $[\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1, x_0]$ where π is as above and furthermore it is unramified at $b_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\pi(x_0) = b_0$. The following properties are known.

(i) $\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow$ there exists a Hurwitz system $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in G^n,\ t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$, such that $t_1, \dots, t_n$ generate G (cf. §1.1). We assume for the next properties that the Hurwitz spaces are nonempty.

(ii) $\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ has a canonical complex analytic structure such that the map given by $[X \to \mathbb{P}^1] \mapsto D$ is a finite, ètale holomorphic map $\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^{(n)} - \Delta$. Here Δ is the codimension one subvariety consisting of effective non-simple divisors of degree n. Similarly $\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0)$ has a canonical complex analytic structure and a finite ètale covering

$\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0) \to (\mathbb{P}^1 - b_0)^{(n)} - \Delta$.

(iii) The complex analytic spaces and the coverings of (ii) are algebraic.

(iv) Let us fix a $D \in (\mathbb{P}^1 - b_0)^{(n)}$ and let us choose a standard system of closed arcs $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$. Varying $m : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - D, b_0) \to G$ let us identify the fiber of $\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0) \to (\mathbb{P}^1 - b_0)^{(n)} - \Delta$ over D with the set of Hurwitz systems $(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in G^n,\ t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$, such that n_i of its elements belong to $C_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, k$, and $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ generate G. Consider the monodromy action of the braid group $\pi_1((\mathbb{P}^1 - b_0)^{(n)} - \Delta, D)$ on the fiber over $D = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$. Then the action of the elementary braids $\sigma_i, {\sigma_i}^{-1}$ which fix b_j for $j \neq i, i+1$ and rotate b_i, b_{i+1} at angles $\pm\pi$, is given by the following formulae

$$\begin{aligned}(t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \ldots, t_n) &\mapsto (t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_i t_{i+1} t_i^{-1}, t_i, t_{i+2}, \ldots, t_n)\\ (t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \ldots, t_n) &\mapsto (t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, t_{i+1}^{-1} t_i t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \ldots, t_n)\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We call such transformations of n-tuples *elementary transformations* or *braid moves*. They determine uniquely the monodromy action of the braid group since the elementary braids generate it.

(v) The image of the forgetful map $[X \to \mathbb{P}^1, x_0] \mapsto [X \to \mathbb{P}]$ is a Zariski open, dense subset $\mathcal{U}(b_0) \subset \mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ consisting of $[X \to \mathbb{P}]$ which are unramified at b_0. Let us denote by $[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ the orbit of an n-tuple of elements of G with respect to the conjugacy action $(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \mapsto (st_1s^{-1}, \ldots, st_ns^{-1}),\ s \in G$. Then the fiber of $\mathcal{U}(b_0) \to (\mathbb{P}^1 - b_0)^{(n)} - \Delta$ over D may be identified with the set $\{[t_1, \ldots, t_n]\}$ where $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ runs over all Hurwitz systems satisfying the conditions of (iv). This set is called *Nielsen class* and denoted by $Ni(\underline{n}, G)$. The monodromy action of the braid group $\pi_1((\mathbb{P} - b_0)^{(n)} - \Delta, D)$ on the Nielsen class is determined by formulae (1).

Definition 1.4. We call two n-tuples of elements of G braid-equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by a finite sequence of elementary transfromations (1). We denote the braid equivalence by $\sim$.

1.5. Using (ii), (iv) and (v) of §1.3 one obtains the following conclusion.

The Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0)$ is irreducible if and only if every two Hurwitz systems satisfying the conditions of (iv) are braid-equivalent. The Hurwits space $\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is irreducible if and only if every two G-orbits of Hurwitz systems satisfying the conditions of (v) are braid-equivalent

Lemma 1.6 ([FB] p.102, [Vo] Lemma 9.4). *Let $(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in G^n,\ t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ be a Hurwitz system. Then*

i. $(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) \sim (t_2, \ldots, t_n, t_1)$.

ii. If $s \in \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle \subset G$ *then* $(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \sim (st_1s^{-1}, \ldots, st_ns^{-1})$

Corollary 1.7. *The forgetful map $\mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0) \to \mathcal{H}_{G;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ establishes a bijective correspondence between the connected (= irreducible) components of the two Hurwitz spaces*

Lemma 1.8. *Suppose the n-tuple $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in G^n$ contains the adjacent pair $t_k = t, t_{k+1} = t^{-1}$. Then performing a sequence of elementary transformations (1) one may move the pair (t, t^{-1}) at any two consequitive places without changing the other elements of the n-tuple.*

Proof. This follows from the braid equivalences $(u, t, t^{-1}) \sim (t, t^{-1}ut, t^{-1}) \sim (t, t^{-1}, u)$ and $(t, t^{-1}, u) \sim (t, t^{-1}ut, t^{-1}) \sim (u, t, t^{-1})$. □

Lemma 1.9. *Let $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be an n-tuple of elements of G such that $t_{i+1} = t_i^{-1}$. Let H be the subgroup generated by $t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_n$. Then for every $h \in H$ one has*

$$(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, ht_ih^{-1}, ht_{i+1}h^{-1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_n) \sim (t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

Proof. Let H_1 be the subset consisting of elements h such that

$$(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, hth^{-1}, ht^{-1}h^{-1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_n) \sim (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t, t^{-1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_n)$$

holds for every $t \in G$. By reflexivity and transitivity of $\sim$ it follows that H_1 is a subgroup of G. The statement of the lemma would be proved if we show that $t_j \in H_1$ for every $j \neq i, i+1$. Using Lemma 1.8 we move (t, t^{-1}) to the right of t_j. Then we have $(t_j, t, t^{-1}) \sim (t_j t t_j^{-1}, t_j, t^{-1}) \sim (t_j t t_j^{-1}, t_j t^{-1} t_j^{-1}, t_j)$. We then move the pair $(t_j t t_j^{-1}, t_j t^{-1} t_j^{-1})$ back to the initial position. □

1.10. Let R be a root system in a real vector space V (see [Bo] Ch. VI or [Hu1] Ch.III). Let $r = \dim V$ be the rank of R. We assume R is reduced, i.e. for each $\alpha \in R$ one has $R\alpha \cap R = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$. Let $W = W(R)$ be the Weyl group generated by the reflections $\{s_\alpha | \alpha \in R\}$. Let $(\ |\ )$ be a W-inveriant inner product of V. Following [Bo] we denote $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha|\alpha)}$ and $n(x, \alpha) = \frac{2(x|\alpha)}{(\alpha|\alpha)}$. Then $s_\alpha = x - n(x, \alpha)\alpha$. The values of $n(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in R$ are given in [Bo] Ch.VI §1.3. Let C be a chamber, $B(C) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be the corresponding base of R, $R = R^+ \cup R^-$ be the decomposition into positive and negative roots. Every total ordering of V determines uniquely a base of R composed of the set of positive roots indecomposable into sums of other positive roots [Hu2] §1.3 and [Bo] Ch.VI §7. Vice versa given a base of R and choosing its linear ordering one may consider the corresponding lexicographic ordering of V. The simple system associated with this total ordering is the given base of R. Suppose R is an irreducible root system. If R is simply laced, i.e. of type A_r, D_r, E_6, E_7 or E_8 then it consists of one W-orbit. If R is non-simply laced, i.e. of type B_r, C_r, F_4 or G_2, then it consists of two W-orbits $R = R_s \cup R_\ell$ called short and long roots respectively.

Definition 1.11. Let R be a root system and let W be its Weyl group. A Galois covering $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with Galois group W is called simply ramified if every local monodromy is a reflection.

1.12. Simply ramified W-coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ yield Hurwitz systems $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ where t_i are reflections in W. Applying the canonical homomorphism $\epsilon : W \to \{1, -1\}$ we see that n is even for these Hurwitz systems.

Let $R = R^{(1)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup R^{(k)}$ be the decomposition into disjoint union of irreducible root systems. Let $W^{(i)} = W(R^{(i)})$ be the corresponding Weyl groups. Every reflection in W belongs to some $W^{(i)}$ and every conjugacy class of reflections in W is a conjugacy class of reflections in some $W^{(i)}$ with respect to $W^{(i)}$. Simplifying the notation of §1.3 we may specify the branching data of a simply ramified W-covering $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ by $\underline{n} = (\underline{n}^{(1)}, \ldots, \underline{n}^{k})$ where: if $R^{(i)}$ is simply laced $\underline{n}^{(i)} = n^{(i)}$ and denotes the number of discriminant points whose local monodromies are reflections in $W^{(i)}$; if $R^{(i)}$ is non-simply laced then $\underline{n}^{(i)} = (n_s^{(i)}, n_\ell^{(i)})$ where $n_s^{(i)}$, respectively $n_\ell^{(i)}$, denotes the number of discriminant points whose local monodromies are reflections with respect to short roots, respectively long roots, in $W^{(i)}$. Our aim is to prove that the Hurwitz spaces $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ are irreducible by means of studying braid equivalences between Hurwitz systems of reflections in W.

Definition 1.13. Let $\{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$ be a subset of a group G. Replacing a pair t_i, t_j by $t_i, t_i t_j t_i^{-1}$ one obtains a new set $\{t'_1, \ldots, t'_m\}$ where $t'_k = t_k$ if $k \neq j$ and $t_j = t_i t_j t_i^{-1}$. This transformation of subsets of a group is called *Nielsen transformation.*

The following theorem is a particular case of a well-known result [Co, De, Dy]. The part concerning Nielsen transformations, which we need, is treated only in [Be] in a special case, so we include a simple proof.

Theorem 1.14. *Let $R \subset V$ be a root system, let $W = W(R)$ be its Weyl group, let $\geq$ be a total ordering of V and let $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ be the corresponding base of R. Let $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\} \subset W$ be a set of reflections, $t_i = t_{\beta_i}$ with $\beta_i \in R^+$. Let W' be the subgroup generated by T, let $R' = W' \cdot \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m\}$ and let V' be the span of R'. Then R' is a root system in V' and W' is its Weyl group. Furthermore if $\{\alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_\ell\}$ is the base of R' associated with the total ordering induced by $\geq$ on V', then the set $\{s_{\alpha'_1}, \ldots, s_{\alpha'_\ell}\}$ may be obtained from T by a finite sequence of Nielsen transformations.*

Proof. First we notice that if s_α, s_β are reflections in W then $s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha = s_{s_\alpha(\beta)}$. Let $(\ |\ )$ be a W-invariant inner product of V. For each $\alpha \in R$, $\alpha = a_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + a_r\alpha_r$, let $ht(\alpha) = a_1 + \cdots + a_r$. If $s = s_\alpha$ is a reflection with $\alpha \in R^+$ let $h(s) = ht(\alpha)$. We extend this definition to sets of reflections $A = \{\sigma_i\}$ letting $h(A) = \sum h(\sigma_i)$.

CLAIM. *Suppose* $\alpha, \beta \in R^+$ and $(\alpha|\beta) > 0$. then there is a Nielsen transformation $\{s_\alpha, s_\beta\} \mapsto \{s_{\alpha'}, s_{\beta'}\}$ such that $h(\{s_\alpha, s_\beta\}) > h(\{s_{\alpha'}, s_{\beta'}\})$.

For the proof of the claim we may obviously suppose R is irreducible. We may furthermore suppose $\|\beta\| \geq \|\alpha\|$. First let $\|\alpha\| = \|\beta\|$. We have $s_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - \alpha \in R$. If $\beta - \alpha \in R^+$ then $h(s_{s_\alpha(\beta)}) = ht(\beta - \alpha) < ht(\beta)$ and we may decrease h considering the Nielsen transformation $\{s_\alpha, s_\beta\} \mapsto \{s_\alpha, s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha\}$. If $\beta - \alpha \in R^-$, then $s_\beta(\alpha) = \alpha - \beta \in R^+$ and we consider $\{s_\beta s_\alpha s_\beta, s_\beta\}$. If $\|\beta\| > \|\alpha\|$ then $s_\beta(\alpha) = \alpha - \beta$ and $s_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - c\alpha$ where $c = 2$ if R is of type B_n, C_n or F_4 and $c = 3$ if R is of type G_2. If $\alpha - \beta \in R^+$ we consider as above $\{s_\beta s_\alpha s_\beta, s_\beta\}$. If $s_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - c\alpha \in R^+$ then we consider $\{s_\alpha, s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha\}$. It remains to deal with the cases when $\|\beta\| > \|\alpha\|$, $\alpha - \beta \in R^-$, $\beta - c\alpha \in R^-$. Suppose first R is of type B_n, C_n or F_4, so $c = 2$. Then $\alpha - \beta \in R^-$ implies $ht(\alpha) < \beta$, so the positive root $2\alpha - \beta$ satisfies

$ht(2\alpha-\beta) < ht(\beta)$. Therefore $h(s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha) = h(s_{2\alpha-\beta}) < h(s_\beta)$ and we may decrease h by the Nielsen transformation $\{s_\alpha, s_\beta\} \mapsto \{s_\alpha, s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha\}$. Finally, let R be of type G_2. With the assumptions above we have

$$h(s_\alpha) + h(s_\alpha s_\beta s_\alpha) = ht(\alpha) + ht(3\alpha-\beta) = 4ht(\alpha) - ht(\beta)$$
$$h(s_\beta s_\alpha s_\beta) + h(s_\beta) = ht(\beta-\alpha) + ht(\beta) = 2ht(\beta) - ht(\alpha).$$

It is impossible that both numbers $\geq ht(\alpha) + ht(\beta)$. This proves the claim.

The claim shows that if among the reflections of T there are two $t_i = s_{\beta_i}$, $t_j = s_{\beta_j}$ with $\beta_i, \beta_j \in R^+$ and $(\beta_i|\beta_j) > 0$, then performing a Nielsen transformation of T we may decrease $h(T)$. Since h assumes a finite number of positive values on sets of $\leq m$ elements we conclude that after a finite number of Nielsen transformations we obtain a set of reflections $T' = \{s_{\alpha'_1}, \ldots, s_{\alpha'_\ell}\}$ where $\alpha'_i \in R^+$ and $(\alpha'_i|\alpha'_j) \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$. We have furthermore that $n(\alpha'_i, \alpha'_j) \cdot n(\alpha'_j, \alpha'_i) \in \{0,1,2,3\}$ if $i \neq j$ since $\alpha'_i, \alpha'_j \in R$. By the classification of Coxeter graphs and Dynkin diagrams (see e.g. [Hu1]) it follows that $\alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_\ell$ is a base of a root system. Replacing T by a Nielsen transformation does change neither $W' = \langle T \rangle$ nor $R' = W' \cdot \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m\}$. We conclude that R' is a root system with base $\alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_\ell$ and moreover since all α'_i are positive roots in R this is the unique base of R' associated with the total ordering $\geq$. □

Corollary 1.15. *Let $R \subset V$ be a root system, let W be its Weyl group and let $S = \{s_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, s_{\alpha_r}\}$ be a set of simple reflections corresponding to a base of R. Let $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$ be a set of reflections which generate W. Then one can obtain S from T by a finite sequence of Nielsen transformations.*

Proof. Let us choose a linear ordering of the set $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ and let $\geq$ be the corresponding lexicographic ordering of V. Using the notation of the theorem we have $W' = W$, $R' = R$ (see [Hu2] Ex. 1.14), $V' = V$ and $\{\alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_\ell\} = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ since the total ordering $\geq$ determines uniquely a base of $R = R'$. □

2 Irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces

2.1. Suppose $R \subset V$ is an irreducible root system. Let us normalize the W-invariant inner product $(\ |\ )$ so that $(\alpha|\alpha) = 2$ for every root α if R is simply laced and $(\alpha|\alpha) = 2$ for every short root if R is non-simply laced. In the latter case for every long root β one has $(\beta|\beta) = 4$ if R is of type B_r, C_r or F_4 and $(\beta|\beta) = 6$ if R is of type G_2. Let us choose a chamber $C \subset V$. Let λ be the dominant root if R is simply laced and let λ be the dominant short root if R is non-simply laced. It is known that *if $\alpha \in R^+$ and $\alpha \neq \lambda$ then $(\lambda|\alpha^\vee)$ equals 0 or 1* (cf. [Bo] Ch.VI §1.3). With the fixed normalization of $(\ |\ )$ we have that $\alpha^\vee = \alpha$ if R is of type A_r, D_r, E_6, E_7, E_8 or if R is of type B_r, C_r, F_4, G_2 and α is a short root. So in these cases we have that $\alpha \in R^+$ and $\alpha \neq \lambda$ implies that $(\lambda|\alpha)$ equals 0 or 1. If R is of type B_r, C_r, F_4 or G_2 and β is a positive long root then $(\lambda|\beta)$ equals 0 or $(\beta|\beta)/2$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let R be a root system and let W be its Weyl group. Let $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ be a Hurwitz system where t_i are reflections in W. Then $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ is braid-equivalent to a Hurwitz system $t'_1 t'_2 \cdots t'_n = 1$ such that $t'_1 = t'_2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.14 we may suppose without loss of generality that the set $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ generates W. Let $R = R^{(1)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup R^{(k)}$ be the decomposition into disjoint union of irreducible root systems. Let $W^{(i)} = W(R^{(i)})$. If $\alpha \in R^{(i)}$, $\beta \in R^{(j)}$ and $i \neq j$ then $(\alpha|\beta) = 0$ and s_α commutes with s_β. Thus one may perform several braid moves to the Hurwitz system $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ to the effect of obtaining a concatenation $T^{(1)} T^{(2)} \cdots T^{(k)} = 1$ where $T^{(i)}$ contains all reflections in T which belong to $W^{(i)}$ in the order they appear in T. Since W is a direct product of $W^{(i)}$ the product of the reflections in $T^{(i)}$ equals 1 for each i. It suffices to prove the proposition for $T^{(1)}$, so we may suppose without loss of generality that R is an irreducible root system. The case $rk(R) = 1$, $W = S_2$ is trivial, so we may furthermore suppose $r = rk(R) \geq 2$. If $T = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is braid-equivalent to a sequence with two equal reflections then we may move them by elementary transformations to the first two places and obtain the required Hurwitz system. Let us suppose by way of contradiction that

(*) *No sequence braid-equivalent to $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ contains two equal reflections.*

Step 1. Let $t_i = s_{\gamma_i}$ with $\gamma_i \in R^+$. Let λ be the dominant root defined in §2.1. If among $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ the reflection s_λ is present we move it to the first place by a sequence of elementary transformations of the type $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto (\tau, \tau\sigma\tau)$. Similarly we may move to the front all reflections s_{γ_i} with $(\lambda|\gamma_i) > 0$. We obtain a Hurwitz system braid-equivalent to $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ of the form

$$s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} \cdots s_{\beta_k} s_{\beta_{k+1}} \cdots s_{\beta_n} = 1 \tag{2}$$

where:

(i) $\beta_i \in R^+$ and $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$ for $i \neq j$ (Assumption (*));

(ii) $(\lambda|\beta_i) > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $(\lambda|\beta_j) = 0$ for $j \geq k+1$;

(iii) if $\lambda \in \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k\}$ then $\lambda = \beta_1$.

Notice that $k \geq 1$ since W cannot be generated by reflections s_α with $(\lambda|\alpha) = 0$. Among the Hurwitz systems braid-equivalent to $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ and satisfying conditions (i) – (iii) we consider those for which

(iv) k is minimal possible.

Step 2. We claim there is a Hurwitz system braid-equivalent to $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ which satisfies conditions (i) – (iv) of Step 1 and furthermore

(v) $(\beta_i|\beta_j) \geq 0$ for every i, j with $1 \leq i, j \leq k$

holds. First suppose $\beta_1 = \lambda$. Then $(\beta_1, \beta_i) \geq 0$ for $i \geq 2$ since λ is a dominant root. Suppose there is a pair i, j with $2 \leq i < j \leq k$ such that $(\beta_i|\beta_j) < 0$. If $\|\beta_i\| = \|\beta_j\|$ then $s_{\beta_i}(\beta_j) = \beta_j + \beta_i$ and $\|s_{\beta_i}(\beta_j)\| = \|\beta_j\|$. We have $(\lambda|s_{\beta_i}(\beta_j)) = (\lambda|\beta_i) + (\lambda|\beta_j) > \max\{(\lambda|\beta_i), (\lambda|\beta_j)\}$. From §2.1 this is possible only if $\|\beta_i\| = \|\beta_j\| = 2$, and $\lambda = \beta_i + \beta_j$. Performing several braid moves among $s_{\beta_2}, \ldots, s_{\beta_k}$ we place s_{β_i} adjacent to s_{β_j}. The braid move $(s_{\beta_i}, s_{\beta_j}) \mapsto (s_{\beta_i} s_{\beta_j} s_{\beta_i}, s_{\beta_i}) = (s_\lambda, s_{\beta_i})$ yields a sequence with two reflections equal to s_λ. This contradicts Assumption (*). If $\|\beta_i\| > \|\beta_j\|$ then $s_{\beta_i}(\beta_j) = \beta_j + \beta_i$. This is a root with $(\lambda|\beta_j + \beta_i) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\|\beta_i\| \geq 3$. This is impossible by the choice of λ in §2.1. One reasons

similarly in the case $\|\beta_i\| < \|\beta_j\|$ considering $s_{\beta_j}(\beta_i) = \beta_i + \beta_j$. The claim of Step 2 is proved if $\beta_1 = \lambda$. Let $\beta_1 \neq \lambda$. Suppose $(\beta_i|\beta_j) < 0$ for some pair i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. The same arguments as above show that the only possibility is $\|\beta_i\| = \|\beta_j\| = 2$, $\beta_i + \beta_j = \lambda$, in which case performing braid moves among the first k reflections one obtains a Hurwitz system containing s_λ. One moves s_λ to the first place by elementary transformations. The obtained Hurwitz system is of the type of Step 1 since none of $s_{\beta_{k+1}}, \ldots, s_{\beta_n}$ has been changed with these transformations and by the minimality of k (Condition (iv) of Step 1). We already treated such cases in this step, so there is a braid-equivalent Hurwitz system for which Condition (v) holds.

Step 3. We claim that for a Hurwitz system which satisfies conditions (i) – (v) of Step 1 and Step 2 one of the following alternatives holds:

(vi) if $\beta_1 \neq \lambda$ then $(\beta_i|\beta_j) = 0$ for $\forall i \neq j$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq k$;

(vi)$'$ if $\beta_1 = \lambda$ then $(\beta_i|\beta_j) = 0$ for $\forall i \neq j$ with $2 \leq i, j \leq k$.

Suppose $(\beta_i|\beta_j) > 0$ for some pair $i \neq j$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ and $\beta_i \neq \lambda \neq \beta_j$. We may assume $\|\beta_i\| \geq \|\beta_j\|$. If $\|\beta_i\| = \|\beta_j\|$ then $s_{\beta_j}(\beta_i) = \beta_i - \beta_j$ and $(\lambda|s_{\beta_j}(\beta_i)) = \frac{1}{2}\|\beta_i\| - \frac{1}{2}\|\beta_j\| = 0$. If $\|\beta_i\| > \|\beta_j\|$ then $\|\beta_j\| = 2$ and $(\lambda|\beta_j) = 1$ since $\lambda \neq \beta_j$. Furthermore $s_{\beta_j}(\beta_i) = \beta_i - n(\beta_i, \beta_j)\beta_j$ and $(\lambda|s_{\beta_j}(\beta_i)) = \frac{1}{2}\|\beta_i\| - n(\beta_i, \beta_j) = 0$. In both cases $(\lambda|s_{\beta_j}(\beta_i)) = 0$. Let β be the positive root belonging to $\{s_{\beta_j}(\beta_i), -s_{\beta_j}(\beta_i)\}$. Performing several braid moves among the first k reflections we place s_{β_i} adjacent to s_{β_j}. We obtain either $(\ldots, s_{\beta_i}, s_{\beta_j}, \ldots) \sim (\ldots, s_{\beta_j}, s_\beta, \ldots)$ or $(\ldots, s_{\beta_j}, s_{\beta_i}, \ldots) \sim (\ldots, s_\beta, s_{\beta_j}, \ldots)$ with $(\lambda|s_\beta) = 0$. In either case we move s_β to the k-th place by successive braid moves. The obtained Hurwitz system contradicts the minimality of k required in Condition (iv) of Step 1.

Step 4. We claim Alternative (vi) of Step 3 yields a contradiction. We have $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ are k mutually orthogonal roots. The involution $\sigma = s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_k}$ has eigenvalues 1 and -1. Let V_+ and V_- be the corresponding eigenspaces, $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$. By [Ca] Section 2 one has $V_+ = H_{\beta_1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\beta_k}$ and $V_- = {V_+}^\perp$. The identity $s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_k} s_{\beta_{k+1}} \cdots s_{\beta_n} = 1$ and $(\lambda|\beta_i) = 0$ for $i \geq k+1$ implies $\sigma(\lambda) = \lambda$. Hence $\lambda \in V_+$, i.e. $(\lambda|\beta_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. This is a contradiction with Condition (ii) of Step 1 (we recall $k \geq 1$ since $s_{\beta_1}, \ldots, s_{\beta_n}$ generate W).

Step 5. If R is not of type G_2 then Alternative (vi)$'$ of Step 3 yields a contradiction. First we prove $k \leq 2$ in this case. Suppose among $\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k$ there are two roots with length 2. We may assume without loss of generality that $\|\beta_2\| = \|\beta_3\| = 2$. We have $s_{\beta_2}(\lambda) = \lambda - \beta_2$ and $s_{\beta_3}(s_{\beta_2}(\lambda)) = \lambda - \beta_2 - \beta_3 = \beta$. The latter root satisfies $(\lambda|\beta) = 0$. Performing the braid moves $(s_\lambda, s_{\beta_1}, s_{\beta_2}, \ldots) \sim (s_{\beta_1, s_{\lambda-\beta_1}}, s_{\beta_2}, \ldots) \sim (s_{\beta_1}, s_{\beta_2}, s_\beta, \ldots)$ and moving s_β to the k-th place by elementary transformations we obtain a Hurwitz system with $n-k+1$ reflections which fix λ. This contradicts Condition (iv) of Step 1. Therefore among $\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k$ there is at most one root of length 2. If R is of type B_r, C_r or F_4 suppose among $\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k$ there is a long root. We may assume without loss of generality this is β_2. Then $s_{\beta_2}(\lambda) = \lambda - \beta_2 = \beta$ and $(\lambda|\beta) = (\lambda|\lambda) - (\lambda|\beta_2) = 2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\beta_2\| = 0$. Performing the braid move $(s_\lambda, s_{\beta_2}, \ldots) \sim (s_{\beta_2}, s_\beta, \ldots)$ and moving s_β to the k-th place by successive elementary transformations we obtain again a Hurwitz system which contradicts the minimality of k. Our claim that $k \leq 2$ is proved. We have $s_\lambda = s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_3} \cdots s_{\beta_n}$. If $k = 1$ then $(\lambda|\beta_i) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Applying both sides of the above equality to λ we obtain the absurdity $-\lambda = \lambda$. If

$k = 2$ then $(\lambda|\beta_i) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$. Applying both sides of the above equality to λ we obtain $-\lambda = s_\lambda(\lambda) = s_{\beta_2}(\lambda)$. Therefore $\beta_2 = \lambda$ which contradicts Assumption (*).

Step 6. It remains to consider Alternative (vi)$'$ of Step 3 when R is of type G_2. The same argument as in Step 5 shows that among $\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k$ there is at most one root of length 2. We claim there is also at most one long root among $\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k$. Indeed if β_i, β_j are long roots, then $s_{\beta_i}(\lambda) = \lambda - \beta_i$, $s_{\beta_j}(s_{\beta_i}(\lambda)) = \lambda - \beta_i - \beta_j$. The root $\lambda - \beta_i - \beta_j$ satisfies $(\lambda|\lambda - \beta_i - \beta_j) = 2 - 3 - 3 = -4$. This is an absurdity since for $\forall \gamma \in R$ one has $(\lambda|\gamma) \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 3\}$. The case $k \leq 2$ is impossible by the argument of Step 5. It remains to consider the case where $k = 3$ and $\{\beta_2, \beta_3\}$ consists of a short and a long root which are orthogonal. Without loss of generality we may assume $\|\beta_2\| = 2$, $\|\beta_3\| = 6$. The number n is even, so $n \geq 4$. If among $\beta_4, \ldots, \beta_n$ there were no long roots, then s_{β_3} would be contained in the subgroup generated by reflections with respect to short roots. This is impossible since the only reflections in the latter subgroup are s_γ with γ a short root in R. Without loss of generality we may assume β_4 is a long root. We obtain a Hurwitz system $(s_\lambda, s_{\beta_2}, s_{\beta_3}, s_{\beta_4}, \ldots)$ braid-equivalent to $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ where $\|\beta_2\| = 2, \|\beta_3\| = \|\beta_4\| = 6, (\lambda|\beta_2) = 1, (\lambda|\beta_3) = 3, (\lambda|\beta_4) = 0, (\beta_2|\beta_3) = 0$. Table X of [Bo] Ch.VI lists the positive roots in R of type G_2: $R^+ = R_s^+ \cup R_\ell^-$, $R_s^+ = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1', \omega_1\}$, $R_\ell^- = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_2', \omega_2\}$ where α_1, α_2 is a base of R and ω_1, ω_2 are the fundamental weights. We have $\lambda = \omega_1$. The following two possibilities for $(s_\lambda, s_{\beta_2}, s_{\beta_3}, s_{\beta_4})$ may occur:

$$(s_{\omega_1}, s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\omega_2}, s_{\alpha_2}) \qquad \text{or} \qquad (s_{\omega_1}, s_{\alpha_1'}, s_{\alpha_2'}, s_{\alpha_2}). \tag{3}$$

In the first case we perform the following braid moves:

$$\begin{aligned}(s_{\omega_1}, s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\omega_2}, s_{\alpha_2}) &\sim (s_{\omega_1}, s_{\omega_2}, s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_2}) \sim (s_{\omega_1}, s_{\omega_2}, s_{\alpha_2}, s_{\alpha_1'}) \\ &\sim (s_{\omega_1}, s_{\omega_2}, s_{\alpha_1'}, s_{-\omega_2}) \sim (s_{\omega_1}, s_{-\omega_1}, s_{\omega_2}, s_{-\omega_2}) = (s_{\omega_1}, s_{\omega_1}, s_{\omega_2}, s_{\omega_2}).\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts Assumption (*). The product of the first quadruple of (3) equals 1 and conjugating it by s_{ω_1} one obtains the second quadruple. By Lemma 1.6 the second quadruple is braid-equivalent to the first one, hence braid-equivalent to $(s_{\omega_1}, s_{\omega_1}, s_{\omega_2}, s_{\omega_2})$. This contradicts Assumption (*).

We proved that Assumption (*) leads to a contradiction. Therefore the Hurwitz system $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ is braid-equivalent to some $t_1' t_2' \cdots t_n'$ with $t_1' = t_2'$. The proposition is proved. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a root system and let W be its Weyl group. Let $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ be a Hurwitz system of reflections in W. Then $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ is braid-equivalent to a Hurwitz system $t_1' \cdots t_n' = 1$ where $t_{2i-1}' = t_{2i}'$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$.*

Proof. Use Proposition 2.2 and induction on the even number n. □

2.4. Let R be an irreducible root system of rank r with Weyl group W. In §1.3 we defined the Hurwitz spaces $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. If R is simply laced, i.e. of type A_r, D_r, E_6, E_7 or E_8, one has $\underline{n} = n$ and the spaces parametrize irreducible Galois covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ branched in n points whose local monodromies are reflections in W. If R is non-simply laced, i.e. of type B_r, C_r, F_4 or G_2, one has $\underline{n} = (n_s, n_\ell)$ and the spaces parametrize irreducible Galois covers of

$\mathbb{P}^1$ branched in $n = n_s + n_\ell$ points with n_s discriminant points whose local monodromies are reflections with respect to short roots and n_ℓ discriminant points whose local monodromies are reflections with respect to long roots. In the non-simply laced case let r_s, respectively r_ℓ, denote the number of short roots, respectively long roots, in the Dynkin diagram of R. One has $r = r_s + r_\ell$ and for types B_r, C_r, F_4 and G_2 the pair (r_s, r_ℓ) equals respectively $(1, r-1)$, $(r-1, 1)$, $(2, 2)$ and $(1, 1)$ (cf. [Bo] Ch.VI).

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be an irreducible root system of rank r with Weyl group W.*

i. The Hurwitz spaces $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ are irreducible when non-empty.

ii. If R is of type A_r, D_r, E_6, E_7 or E_8 then $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0) \neq \emptyset$, equivalently $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \neq \emptyset$, if and only if $n \geq 2r$.

iii. If R is of type B_r, C_r, F_4 or G_2 then $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0) \neq \emptyset$, equivalently $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \neq \emptyset$, if and only if $n_s \equiv 0 (mod\, 2)$, $n_\ell \equiv 0 (mod\, 2)$, $n_s \geq 2r_s$ and $n_\ell \geq 2r_\ell$.

Proof. By §1.3(i) and Corollary 1.7 it suffices to prove the statements for $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0)$. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ be a base of R. If R is simply laced let us choose an arbitrary root α. If R is non-simply laced let us choose an arbitrary short root α and an arbitrary long root β. According to §1.5 the following claim proves Part (i) and one of the directions of Part (ii) and Part (iii).

CLAIM. *Let $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ be a Hurwitz system of reflections in W such that $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ generate W. If R is simply laced then $n \geq 2r$ and the Hurwitz system is braid-equivalent to*

$$s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_2} \cdots s_{\alpha_r} s_{\alpha_r} s_\alpha \cdots s_\alpha = 1 \tag{4}$$

where s_α appears $n - 2r$ times. If R is non-simply laced then n_s and n_ℓ are even, $n_s \geq 2r_s, n_\ell \geq 2r_\ell$ and the Hurwitz system is braid-equivalent to

$$s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_2} \cdots s_{\alpha_r} s_{\alpha_r} s_\alpha \cdots s_\alpha s_\beta \cdots s_\beta = 1 \tag{5}$$

where s_α appears $n_s - 2r_s$ times and s_β appears $n_\ell - 2r_\ell$ times.

Let $n = 2m$. According to Proposition 2.3 the Hurwitz system $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ is braid-equivalent to $t'_1 \cdots t'_n = 1$ where $t'_{2i-1} = t'_{2i} = s_{\beta_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. One has $\langle s_{\beta_1}, \ldots, s_{\beta_m} \rangle = \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle = W$. According to Corollary 1.15 there is a finite sequence of Nielsen transformations by which one can obtain $\{s_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, s_{\alpha_r}\}$ from $\{s_{\beta_1}, \ldots, s_{\beta_m}\}$. Lemma 1.9 shows that if a Hurwitz system contains two adjacent pairs of involutions (s, s) and (t, t) then replacing (t, t) by (sts, sts) one obtains a braid-equivalent Hurwitz system. This implies that extending the above sequence of Nielsen transformations to Nielsen transformations of Hurwitz systems composed of pairs of elements of the corresponding sets one obtains a sequence of braid-equivalences. Eventually we obtain a Hurwitz system composed of pairs $(s_{\alpha_i}, s_{\alpha_i})$ and every such pair with $1 \leq i \leq r$ do appear. Using Lemma 1.8 we may replace the obtained Hurwitz system by a braid-equivalent one in which the first $2r$ elements are $(s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, s_{\alpha_r}, s_{\alpha_r})$. These $2r$ reflections generate W, so by Lemma 1.9 we may replace

any of the remaining pairs (s_γ, s_γ) by (s_α, s_α) if $\|\gamma\| = \|\alpha\|$ and by (s_β, s_β) if $\|\gamma\| = \|\beta\|$. This proves the claim.

For the proof of the other direction of Part (ii) and Part (iii) notice that if the specified inequalities for the number of discriminant points are valid one may define Hurwitz systems by (4) and (5) and apply §1.3(i). □

Performing a sequence of braid moves to a given Hurwitz system may be viewed in two ways. Either one fixes a simple system of closed arcs in $\mathbb{P}^1 - D$ based at b_0 and varies the homomorphism $m : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - D, b_0) \to W$ thus obtaining information about the connected components of the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0)$, or one fixes the monodromy map $m : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - D, b_0) \to W$ and varies the simple arc system thus obtaining a normal form for the local monodromies of a given covering and eventually determining the topological type of the covering. So the proof of the theorem yields the following result in which we use the notation introduced in §2.4.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be an irreducible Galois cover with Galois group the Weyl group of an irreducible root system R of rank r. Suppose π is simply ramified, i.e. every local monodromy is a reflection. Let D be the discriminant locus of π, let $|D| = n$, let $b_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1 - D$, let $x_0 \in \pi^{-1}(b_0)$ and let $m = m_{x_0} : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - D, b_0) \to W$ be the monodromy map. Then there is a simple arc system with initial point b_0 and end points in D such that the local monodromies along the corresponding simple system of closed arcs $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ have the form given by the Hurwitz system (4), respectively (5). Namely fixing a basis $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ of R, an arbitrary root α if R is simply laced, an arbitrary short root α and an arbitrary long root β if R is non-simply laced, one has:*

i. if R is of type A_r, D_r, E_6, E_7 or E_8 then

$$(m(\gamma_1), \ldots, m(\gamma_n)) = (s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_2}, s_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, s_{\alpha_r}, s_{\alpha_r}, s_\alpha, \cdots, s_\alpha)$$

where s_α appears $n - 2r$ times;

ii. if R is of type B_r, C_r, F_4 or G_2 then

$$(m(\gamma_1), \ldots, m(\gamma_n)) = (s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_2}, s_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, s_{\alpha_r}, s_{\alpha_r}, s_\alpha, \cdots, s_\alpha, s_\beta, \cdots, s_\beta)$$

where s_α appears $n_s - 2r_s$ times and s_β appears $n_\ell - 2r_\ell$ times.

We now extend Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 to simply ramified W-coverings where W is an arbitrary Weyl group. We refer to §1.12 and §2.4 for the notation used. The superscript $^{(i)}$ refers to the irreducible root system $R^{(i)}$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let R be a root system with Weyl group W. Let $R = R^{(1)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup R^{(k)}$ be its decomposition into irreducible components.*

i. The Hurwitz spaces $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ are irreducible when non-empty.

ii. $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1, b_0) \neq \emptyset$, *equivalently* $\mathcal{H}_{W;\underline{n}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \neq \emptyset$, *if and only if for every simply laced component* $R^{(i)}$ *one has* $n^{(i)} \equiv 0 (mod\, 2)$, $n^{(i)} \geq 2r^{(i)}$ *and for every non-simply laced component* $R^{(j)}$ *one has* $n_s^{(j)} \equiv 0 (mod\, 2)$, $n_\ell^{(j)} \equiv 0 (mod\, 2)$, $n_s^{(j)} \geq 2r_s^{(j)}$, $n_\ell^{(j)} \geq 2r_\ell^{(j)}$.

Proof. Let $t_1 \cdots t_n = 1$ be a Hurwitz system of reflections in W such that $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ generate W. It is braid-equivalent to a concatenation $T^{(1)}T^{(2)} \cdots T^{(k)} = 1$ where each $T^{(i)}$ is a Hurwitz system of reflections in $W^{(i)}$ which generate $W^{(i)}$ (cf. the proof of Proposition 2.2). The theorem is proved applying the argument of Theorem 2.5 to each $T^{(i)}$. □

Corollary 2.8. *Let* $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ *be an irreducible Galois cover with Galois group the Weyl group of an arbitrary root system* R. *Suppose every local monodromy is a reflection. Let* D *be the discriminant locus of* π, *let* $b_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1 - D$ *and let* $D = D^{(1)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup D^{(k)}$ *be the disjoint union corresponding to the decomposition into irreducible components* $R = R^{(1)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup R^{(k)}$. *Let* $n^{(i)} = |D^{(i)}|$. *Then there is a simple arc system with initial point* b_0 *and end points in* D, *ordered so that the first* $n^{(1)}$ *arcs end in* $D^{(1)}$, *the arcs with numbers* $n^{(1)} + 1, \ldots, n^{(1)} + n^{(2)}$ *end in* $D^{(2)}$ *etc., such that for every* i *the local monodromies corresponding to the collection of arcs ending in* $D^{(i)}$ *are given by the formulae in Corollary 2.6 with* R *replaced by* $R^{(i)}$.

References

[Be] C. Bennett, *Signed Dynkin diagrams and associated groups*, Group theory (Granville, OH, 1992), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1993, pp. 30–61.

[BF] R. Biggers and M. Fried, *Irreducibility of moduli spaces of cyclic unramified covers of genus g curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **295** (1986), no. 1, 59–70.

[Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[Ca] R. W. Carter, *Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group*, Compositio Math. **25** (1972), 1–59.

[Cl] A. Clebsch, *Zür Theorie der Riemann'schen Flächen*, Math. Ann. **6** (1872), 216–230.

[Co] H. S. M. Coxeter, *Finite groups generated by reflections and their subgroups generated by reflections*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **30** (1934), 466–482.

[De] V. V. Deodhar, *A note on subgroups generated by reflections in Coxeter groups*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **53** (1989), no. 6, 543–546.

[Do] R. Donagi, *Decomposition of spectral covers*, Astérisque (1993), no. 218, 145–175.

[Dy] M. Dyer, *Reflection subgroups of Coxeter systems*, J. Algebra **135** (1990), no. 1, 57–73.

[Fr] M. Fried, *Fields of definition of function fields and Hurwitz families—groups as Galois groups*, Comm. Algebra **5** (1977), no. 1, 17–82.

[FB] M. Fried and R. Biggers, *Moduli spaces of covers and the Hurwitz monodromy group*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **335** (1982), 87–121.

[FV] M. Fried and H. Völklein, *The inverse Galois problem and rational points on moduli spaces*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), no. 4, 771–800.

[Fu] W. Fulton, *Hurwitz schemes and irreducibility of moduli of algebraic curves*, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969), 542–575.

[Hu1] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

[Hu2] ———, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[Hum] S. Humphries, *Finite Hurwitz braid actions on sequences of Euclidean reflections*, J. Algebra **269** (2003), 556–588.

[Hur] A. Hurwitz, *Ueber Riemann'sche Fl'ächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten*, **90** (1891), 1–61.

[K1] V. Kanev, *Spectral curves, simple Lie algebras, and Prym-Tjurin varieties*, Theta functions—Bowdoin 1987, Part 1 (Brunswick, ME, 1987), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 627–645.

[K2] ———, *Spectral curves and Prym-Tjurin varieties. I*, Abelian varieties (Egloffstein, 1993), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995, pp. 151–198.

[K3] ———, *Hurwitz spaces of triple coverings of elliptic curves and moduli spaces of Abelian threefolds*, Annali Mat. Pura Appl. **183** (2004), 333–374.

[K4] ———, *Hurwitz spaces of quadruple coverings of elliptic curves and the moduli space of Abelian threefolds* $\mathcal{A}_3(1,1,4)$, Math. Nachr. **278** (2005), 154–172.

[K5] ———, *Irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces*, Dipartimento di Matematica, Universitá di Palermo, Preprint n.241, February 2004.

[Sc] R. Scognamillo, *Prym-Tjurin [Tyurin] varieties and the Hitchin map*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), no. 1, 47–62.

[Ve] F. Vetro, *Irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces of coverings with monodromy groups Weyl groups of type* $W(B_d)$, Preprint N. 279, April 2005, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Palermo.

[Vo] H. Völklein, *Groups as Galois groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 53, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni
Università degli Studi di Palermo
Via Archirafi n. 34, 90123 Palermo, Italy
and
Institute of Mathematics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

E-mail address: **kanev@math.unipa.it**